

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. No.256

CRM-M-1855-2022

Date of Decision:23.03.2022

Mandeep Singh @ Dharu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Ms. Adarsh Priya Darshan, Advocate for
Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.]

SANT PARKASH, J.

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.122 of 2020 dated 14.07.2020 under Sections 302/34/120-B IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station City South, District Moga.

Brief facts of the case are that the present case was got registered on the statement of complainant-Dharminder Singh to the effect that on 14.07.2020, he along with his three brothers were present in their shop. At around 07.00 PM, one person aged about 22/24 years entered in their shop and started giving fire shots on the person of his brother, namely Tejinder Singh @ Pinka, resulting into his death. During investigation of the case, it was found that the present petitioner along with co-accused committed the murder of complainant's brother.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner

has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 28.08.2020. He also submits that if the petitioner is granted regular bail, he will abide by all the terms and conditions as imposed by this Court.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner is involved in many other criminal cases and he falls in the category 'A' of Gangster. He, thus, submits that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

Heard.

From the perusal of case file, it, prima facie, emerges that the petitioner had hatched a criminal conspiracy with co-accused in committing the murder of complainant's brother. It has also come on the record that many material witnesses are yet to be examined. As per the last custody certificate dated 05.02.2022, the petitioner is involved in many other criminal cases. The allegations levelled against the petitioner are very serious in nature. Moreover, counsel for the petitioner has failed to point out any material on the basis of which, it can be said that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case.

Taking into consideration the seriousness of allegations against the petitioner and without commenting anything on merits, the instant petition for regular bail filed by the petitioner is hereby dismissed as such.

23.03.2022
mks

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO