

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

**CRM-M No.54366 of 2021
Date of Decision: 18.07.2022**

Sawinder Singh @ Teetu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

....

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of regular bail in the criminal case arising out of the FIR bearing No.120 dated 21.08.2021 registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar, under Sections 457, 380, 427, 34 and 411 IPC.

2. Bereft of unnecessary details, the allegations, as levelled by complainant Sanjeev Kumar in the subject FIR, are that on 21.08.2021, the owner of the place, where the ATM of SBI at Village Daroli Kalan had been installed, informed him that the lock of the shutter had been broken. On receipt of this information, he (complainant) reached at the spot and found that the ATM had been broken/damaged with the help of the gas cutter and the amount of Rs.6,44,100/-, lying therein, had been stolen by some unknown miscreants.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted the custody-certificate of the petitioner and the same is taken on the record.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in the instant petition and have also perused the file carefully.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody in the present case since 27.08.2021 and his co-accused named Jaspal Singh @ Bagga has been granted the relief of regular bail by the Co-ordinate Bench vide the order dated 04.05.2022 passed in **CRM-M No.4393 of 2022** and his another co-accused named Charanjit Singh @ Nandu has also been granted the same relief by the trial Court vide the order dated 26.04.2022 and the Challan has already been presented and in these circumstances, the petitioner deserves the relief as prayed for in this petition.

6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-referred factual position.

7. Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances and also the fact that the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner named Sawinder Singh @ Teetu is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. The petition in hand stands allowed accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

18.07.2022
neetu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No