

**230-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12052-2021

Date of Decision: 24th August, 2022

Bilal and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : None for the petitioners.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. S.P. Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India, with
Ms. Sangeeta Srivastava, Central Govt. Counsel
for Union of India.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents (arrayed in the petition).

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

3. Learned State counsel has filed reply annexing the statements of the private respondents. It is stated therein that the parents of the petitioners have no objection if they come home and no harm will be caused to them.

5. In view of the above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

6. The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

7. However, in case need so arises and the petitioners apprehend threat perception, they would be at liberty to file a representation to the concerned police authority.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

24th August, 2022

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No