

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CRM-M No. 54589 of 2021

Date of decision: 22.3.2022

Tar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Tek Chand

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

(Through video conferencing)

1. After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition.

3. Leave granted.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

5. However, all pleas appertaining to discharge of the petitioner-accused in respect of an offence constituted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, be canvassed before the learned trial Magistrate concerned. In case the afore plea is rejected, it is yet open to the petitioner to re-access the appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

6. Moreover, it is open to the petitioner to, through an application being filed before the learned trial Magistrate concerned, seek on valid grounds, his exemption from his regular personal appearances, and, upon the afore application being filed, the learned trial Magistrate concerned, shall, in accordance with law, make decisions thereons.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

March 22, 2022

Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No