

[132] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2349-2022

Date of Decision : 15.12.2022

NAFE SINGH

...Petitioner

versus

ANSHAJ SINGH AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, A.A.G. Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 22.09.2011, in CWP No.15229-1989.

[2] A perusal of Annexure P-1, reveals that CWP No. 15229-1989 and connected writ petitions were allowed qua the claim for grant of pension by counting the service rendered in privately aided schools along with service rendered in government service thereafter and by holding the petitioners therein entitled to benefit of 6 per cent interest on the arrears in terms of decision in LPA No. 1317-2009.

[3] At the outset learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of payment as per entitlement in terms of order Annexure P-1 having been made to the petitioner, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to represent to the respondents for claim of interest, if any, on reconciliation of payment.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of

learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for. In the eventuality of any representation as aforesaid being made, the same beconsidered and decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

15.12.2022

himanshu

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No