

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10180-2022

Date of decision: 21-10-2022

Amit Kamboj

.....Petitioner(s)

v.

State of Haryana & others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: - Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Aggrieved by order dated 17.10.2022 passed by Superintendent of Jail, District Jail, Karnal, declining extension of parole, the petitioner has approached this Court under Section 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

State counsel has strenuously opposed the present petition on the ground that this Court has limited jurisdiction in the matter of parole, only when it relates to arbitrariness.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contented and satisfied if he is permitted to file a fresh representation and a direction is issued to the concerned authority to decide the same immediately.

Prayer is innocuous. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned authority to decide the representation, if any filed, within seven working days from the date of its filing.

It is clarified that this Court has not extended the parole.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

October 21, 2022

AK

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether reportable:

No