

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

Civil Revision No.42 of 2022
Date of decision:10.01.2022

**JITENDERPAL SINGH BASRA THROUGH HIS
POWER OF ATTORNEY**

...Petitioner

Versus

DARSHAN SINGH BASRA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The learned trial Court, while dismissing the application to take on record the additional brief affidavit of the attorney of the plaintiff, has made the following observations:-

“7. It is also observed that the fact that disinheritance was through publication in newspaper is admitted fact on record. So, in these circumstances, notice of this fact can be taken at the time of final arguments, whether the publication in newspaper is covered under expression 'registered document' or not? As such, there is no requirement to examine the witness again for the said purpose.”

Keeping in view the aforesaid observations, no ground to interfere in exercise of powers under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 10, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No