

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10178-2022

Date of decision : 27.10.2022

Nirmala and another

....Petitioners

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Mohan S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It has been pleaded that the petitioners apprehend threat at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and they have represented to the authorities vide Annexure P-5.

On advance notice Mr. Anil K. Lamdharia, Addl. Public Prosecutor appears for respondents No.1 to 3 and on instructions from ASI Pawan Kumar submits that after receipt of representation, the Authorities have recorded statements of the petitioners, who have before the Police Authorities submitted that they don't apprehend any threat from respondents No.4 and 5.

In view of the statement made by Addl. Public Public Prosecutor, counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Resultantly, the instant petition stands dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

October 27, 2022
Dpr

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No