

COCp-2370-2022

Date of Decision :01.12.2022

Sheelu Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Rattan, IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 09.09.2022 in CM-13189-90- CWP-2022 IN CWP-12810-2020.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 reveals that CM-13189-90- CWP-2022 IN CWP-12810-2020 was disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an application seeking re-engagement in terms of order Annexure P-2 dated 05.07.2022 while the respondents were directed to consider and decide the application, if filed, in accordance with law and applicable policy, positively within four weeks.

3. Although notice hasnot been issued in this case, yet pursuant to time taken by the learned State Counsel to seek instructions, order dated 30.11.2022 has been filed, rejecting representation dated 19.09.2022 filed by the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of rejection of the claim of the petitioner vide

for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order Annexure R/1 dated 30.11.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.12.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No