

241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12034-2021

Date of decision: 10.01.2022

Khushbu Verma and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral):

[1] The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

[2] This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents No.2 to 5 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

[3] As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

[4] On 22nd December, 2021, following order was passed:-

*“Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only
at this stage.*

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab appearing on

advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 10.1.2022.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date."

[5] Learned State counsel has sent through electronic medium reply of Deputy Commissioner of Police, Dakhan, Ludhiana (Rural) annexing the statements of petitioners, print out of the same is taken on record. As per the reply, there is no threat perception.

[6] In view of reply filed, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

[7] Disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

10th January, 2022

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No