

232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49155-2022
DECIDED ON: 11th NOVEMBER, 2022

VIKRANT @ VICKY CHHITAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

AND

CRM-M-49944-2022

NITIN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-49155-2022).

Mr. Rahul Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-49944-2022).

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankit Kamboj, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Vide common order this Court intends to dispose of both the
petitions together as they have arisen out of the same FIR.

Prayer in the present petitions is for grant of anticipatory bail to

the petitioners (Vikrant @ Vicky Chhitar and Nitin) in FIR No. 134, dated 07.04.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 188, 323, 324, 326, 307 and 506 IPC (Sections 216 and 120-B added later on) and Section 25 of Arms Act, Police Station Indri, Karnal whereby the petitioners have been summoned by way of warrants of arrest issued by the trial Court under Section 319 Cr.P.C vide separate orders of the even dates i.e. dated 29.09.2022 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) to face trial.

This Court, while considering the submissions, passed the orders dated 21.10.2022 passed in CRM-M-49155-2022 and dated 29.10.2022 passed in CRM-M-49944-2022 and directed the petitioners to appear before the trial Court within a period of two weeks from that date and on their appearance, trial Court was directed to release them on interim bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to its satisfaction. The said direction was subject to the petitioners' undertaking to the trial Court that they would appear before it on each and every date, unless there are exceptional circumstances, for which specific application for exemption from personal appearance will be moved.

Today, the counsel for the petitioners has stated that in compliance of the afore-said order, petitioners are appearing regularly since then before the trial Court and not even on a single occasion they have absented.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surinder, submits that the petitioners are appearing continuously and is not in a position to controvert the said fact.

In view of above discussions, orders dated 21.10.2022, passed in CRM-M-49155-2022 and, dated 29.10.2022 passed in CRM-M-49944-2022, are hereby, made absolute.

Disposed of.

A copy of this order be placed on the file of the connected petition.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

11th NOVEMBER, 2022
sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>