

SUBODH KUMAR

...Petitioner

versus

NAVDEEP SINGH VIRK AND ORS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Ravinder Kumar Panchal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 20.05.2022 in CWP No.10840 of 2022 in case titled as Subodh Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.10840 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the respondents to decide legal notice dated 01.03.2022 by passing an administrative order, in accordance with law expeditiously.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that vide order dated 10.11.2022, the respondents have decided the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 01.03.2022, therefore, in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 10.11.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of

learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.12.2022
'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>