

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26935-2021
Date of Decision: 05.01.2022

SUJANA RAM

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

(Presence marked through video conference)

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* quashing order dated 11.12.2021 (Annexures P-12 and P-13), whereby, the claim of the petitioner has been rejected by appointing the private respondent as Executive Vice-Chairperson (EVC).

2. Having heard the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner, I find no ground to interfere.

3. The question posed by learned counsel for the petitioner in the present proceedings is as to whether having once superannuated and attained the age of 65 years, could the private respondent No.4 be considered and appointed on the post of Executive Vice-Chairperson (EVC) is completely irrelevant. Concededly, by virtue of an Ordinance- "The Haryana Pond and Waste Water Management Authority (Amendment) Ordinance, 2021" (Annexure P-5), promulgated by State of Haryana, the age for appointment

on the post in question, was increased from 65 to 68 years. Neither is the Ordinance under challenge before this Court nor even otherwise, it is the case of the petitioner that private respondent No.4 is above 68 years.

4. Being so, it is not a case to exercise extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction.

5. Dismissed.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition.

7. Dismissed as withdrawn along with my aforesaid observations.

January 05, 2022
gurpreet

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No