

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP-10174-2022

Date of Decision: October 25, 2022

NANDANI AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 12, as they have attained majority and married against the wishes of their family members.

Learned counsel states that the petitioners will be satisfied if Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar i.e. respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 19.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), made to him by the petitioners in this regard and take appropriate action on the same.

As per averments made in the petition, both the petitioners are major. Dates of birth of both the petitioners are 01.01.2001 and 11.02.1999, respectively. Copies of Aadhar cards of both the petitioners are appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2, respectively. They got married on 19.10.2022 as per Marriage Certificate, Annexure P-4. Photographs of the marriage are Annexure P-3.

CRWP-10174-2022 :2:

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG,
Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3.

In view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to look into the representation dated 19.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same expeditiously, in accordance with law.

It is however, made clear that this order shall not preclude the authorities to proceed against the petitioners, in case, they are found involved in any other civil or criminal proceedings, instituted/pending against them or pass any order if there is some evidence that surfaces regarding their involvement in a cognizable offence, for which they may be required by the investigating agency.

October 25, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No