

CRM-M-43132-2019

Date of decision: 29.08.2022

Ashish Singla

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**Present:** Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 to 4 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondent No.6.

On issuance of notice of motion, official respondents-State has filed the reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Paramjit Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (City), Moga, District Moga, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and relevant portion of the same reads as under:-

“1. That brief facts of this case are that respondent No.6 had moved an application before DSP, Moga with the allegations that on 17.12.2017 she had requested the petitioner to give her Rs.25,000/- on credit basis and petitioner directed her that he is in Ajitwal and to take said amount from petitioner by coming to Ajitwal at 5 PM. As per directions of petitioner when respondent No.6 reached Ajitwal then petitioner took, her to hotel Snehmohan

Jagraon and after providing her some intoxicant by mixing the same in coffee, petitioner had committed rape upon her. On the basis of said application enquiry was conducted by SHO, PS City South Moga. During enquiry all the allegations leveled by respondent No.6 were found to be false and it was also found that infact respondent No.6 had borrowed a sum of Rs.1,34,000/- from petitioner and when respondent No.6 failed to return said amount, then petitioner had filed a civil suit against him before the Moga Court and with intention to pressurize the petitioner, respondent No.6 had moved said false application against him. During enquiry it is also found that respondent No.6 had moved applications No. 79PC dated 07.05.2019 and 414 PC dated 14.02.2019 against petitioner by leveling same allegations and during enquiry both were found to be false and were consigned. After completion of enquiry application moved by respondent No.6 has been consigned. Now no application against the petitioner is pending in the office of answering respondents.”

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed and consequently, present petition is disposed off accordingly.

29.08.2022
Neha

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No