

**109**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55476-2022

Date of decision : 01.12.2022

Lajjo Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Narender Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of a writ, order or direction for transfer of investigation of FIR No.119 dated 16.07.2022 registered under Section 346 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Machhiwara, Khanna, Ludhiana and for conducting fair in-depth impartial investigation in respect of disappearance of son of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that Mandeep Kaur (daughter-in-law of the petitioner) had got registered the abovementioned FIR and the present petitioner who is the mother of Harpreet Singh, who has disappeared, has given a representation dated 13.07.2022 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of

Police, Khanna, District Ludhiana and would be satisfied in case respondent No.2 looks into the said representation and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel has submitted that FIR has already been registered and the same is being pursued in accordance with law and further submits that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 13.07.2022 (Annexure P-2) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, District Ludhiana to look into representation dated 13.07.2022 (Annexure P-2) and to take action in accordance with law.

It would be relevant to note that this Court has not even gone into the merits of the averments/allegations made in representation dated 13.07.2022 (Annexure P-2) and the same would be considered independently by respondent No.2.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

**01.12.2022**

*Pawan*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-**

**Yes/No**

**Whether reportable:-**

**Yes/No**