

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-24664-2022

Date of Decision :28.10.2022

Inderjeet and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that though, the claim of the petitioners for regularization of their services is not covered under any policy, which has been issued by the Government of Haryana but, it is a matter of fact that the persons who were appointed after the petitioners have been regularized by the respondents, which has given right to the petitioners to claim the said benefit.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further argues that keeping in view the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Prem Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others**, an employee who continued working for more than two decades is entitled for regularization of service, even if, he/she already retired from service whereas, the petitioners have 20 years service to their credit but their services have not been regularized and that too when the services of the persons junior to them, have been regularized by the respondents, which is contrary to the settled principle of law settled

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Prem Singh (supra).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 29.08.2019 (Annexure P-4), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the representation of the petitioners in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief, which has been claimed by them in the representation dated 29.08.2019 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 29.08.2019 (Annexure P-4) within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

October 28, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No