

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CRM-M-54140-2021
Decided on : 14.02.2022

Sukhwinder Singh and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. V. K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sidhant Vermani, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 60 dated 16.02.2020 under Sections 451, 380, 341, 506 and 149 IPC read with Sections 3 and 4 of the Punjab Protection of Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (Prevention of Violence and Damage to Property) Bill, 2008 registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise.

When the matter came up before this Court on 23.12.2021,
the following order was passed:-

“This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.60 dated 16.02.2020, under Sections 451, 380, 341, 506 and 149 IPC read with Sections 3 & 4 of the Punjab Protection of Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (Prevention of Violence and Damage to Property) Bill, 2008, at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 15.12.2021 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 24.01.2022.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Mr.Vibhu Walia, Advocate, for Mr. Sidhant Vermani, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.

The parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements qua compromise within a period of two weeks from today.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:-

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused.*
- 2. Whether any accused is proclaimed offender?*
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?*
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not?*
- 5. The trial Court is also directed to record the statement of the Investigating Officer as to how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR. ”*

In pursuance of the said order, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar to the Registrar of this Court. The relevant portion of the said report is reproduced

hereinbelow:-

“Therefore, on the basis of the above-mentioned statements, the report of this court is submitted as under:

- i. There are two accused persons in the present FIR namely Sukhwinder Singh S/o S. Balbir Singh and Manmeet Singh S/o Sukhwinder Singh;*
- ii. No accused is declared proclaimed offender;*
- iii. The compromise is genuine, voluntarily and without any coercion and undue influence;*
- iv. The accused persons are not involved in any other FIR; and*
- v. There is only one victim/complainant in the present case namely Dish Kumar.*

4. The original statements of all the parties and the investigating officer of the case mentioned-above are attached herewith. The report is hereby submitted please for your kind perusal. I am highly obliged.

Thanking you,”

A perusal of the said report would show that it has been stated that the statements of the complainant as well as the accused have been recorded in the case and both have stated that the matter has been compromised and they have no objection in case the FIR is quashed. It is further stated that the statement of the complainant has been made voluntarily without any fear, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that none of the petitioners have been declared proclaimed offender in the present

case and are not involved in any other case.

Learned counsel for the State, as per instructions, has stated that these facts are correct.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 has reiterated the factum of compromise and has prayed for quashing of FIR on the basis of the same.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file. After perusing the report submitted by the trial Court, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioners and the complainant. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab”, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of ***“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543***, had also

observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court. XXX---XXX”

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 60 dated 16.02.2020 under Sections 451, 380, 341, 506 and 149 IPC read with Sections 3 and 4 of the Punjab Protection of Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institutions (Prevention of Violence and Damage to Property) Bill, 2008 registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise, are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners.

February 14th, 2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No