

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204(II)

CRM-M-49654-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.12.2022

MAHIPAL SINGH AND OTHERS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.224 dated 12.07.2022, under Section 379, 323, 332, 353, 186, 188, 506, 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of order dated 28.10.2022 of this Court, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but have also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sachin affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigation agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the

merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 28.10.2022 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C

However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigation agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

December 15, 2022

M.Kamra /S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No