

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104)

CWP-26490-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision : November 15, 2022

Vijay Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the claim of the petitioner in the present petition is that the candidature of the petitioner is to be considered in the category of Ex-serviceman (BCA) which post has not been given to the petitioner but has been given to similar BCA category. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the petitioner was eligible and available for appointment against the post advertised, the same could not have been given to a candidate of BCA category, who does not have Ex-serviceman background.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 17.11.2021 (Annexure P-10), which is still

pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 17.11.2021 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 17.11.2021 (Annexure P-10), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 17.11.2021 (Annexure P-10) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

As the main writ petition has been disposed of, learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press application i.e. CM-18030-CWP-2022.

November 15, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No