

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-25014-2022

Date of decision:31.10.2022

Hari Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Vishal Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner was allotted Tenement No. 85-A (New No. 1435/21) Sector 29-B, Chandigarh on 16.10.1985 under the Scheme Chandigarh Allotment of Low Cost Tenements on lease and Hire Purchase Basis. The allotment was cancelled vide order dated 10.08.2016 by the Estate Officer U.T. Chandigarh . The petitioner has preferred an appeal there against, which is pending before the Chief Administrator U.T. Chandigarh. Initially the stay was granted, which was vacated on 04.07.2022 as the counsel for the petitioner did not appear. The appeal is now pending for 07.11.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the counsel for the petitioner would appear before the Appellate Authority on 07.11.2022 and any other date to which the case is subsequently adjourned.

In view thereof, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate Authority-respondent No.2 to dispose of the

appeal of the petitioner expeditiously considering that the same is pending since, 2016. Till the decision of the appeal the cancellation order dated 10.08.2016 be not given effect.

The above said stay is subject to the condition that appellant as well as his counsel shall appear before the Appellate Authority on the date fixed i.e. 07.11.2022 and on the subsequent dates of hearing, failing which the stay shall be deemed to be vacated.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

31.10.2022
rashmi

1. Whether reportable?
2. Whether reasoned / speaking?

Yes / No
Yes / No