

240

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12023-2021

Date of decision: 10.01.2022

Simran Rani and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral):

[1] The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

[2] This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

[3] As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

[4] On 22nd December, 2021, following order was passed:-

*“Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only
at this stage.*

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana appearing on

advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have instructions.

Put up on 10.1.2022.

In the meantime, the police officials not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date."

[5] Learned State counsel submits that statements of petitioners have been recorded by the Deputy Superintendent of Police concerned and there is no threat perception to the petitioners.

[6] In view of statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

[7] Disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

10th January, 2022
pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No