

COCp-2818-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.05.2022

Sachin Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Samanwita Hajra and anr.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Mohak Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate for respondent No.1.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate, puts in appearance and has filed Valakatnama on behalf of respondent No.1.
2. Reply has been filed, explaining the reasons for not sending the child with the respondent. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions to states that respondent No.1 is ready and willing to comply with the order of the Court and allow the petitioner the custody of the child in terms of order dated 30.05.2019 passed by the learned Principal District Judge, Family Court, Gurugram.
3. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent's under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing respondent No.1 to adhere to the assurance held out by her through her counsel and to allow the custody of the child to the petitioner in terms of order dated 30.05.2019 passed by the learned Principal District Judge, Family Court, Gurugram.
6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.05.2022

rajesh