116+225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54602 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.01.2022

Ramesh Kumar …Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

****

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(ORAL)

The contention of the petitioner is that there is violation of Section 50 of the NDPS Act. As such, Section 50 of NDPS Act is not applicable as there was no search of person.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.

Pending applications, if any also stands disposed of.

It is clarified that withdrawal of the present petition will not come in the way of filing a fresh petition.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

January 18, 2022
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No

MANPREET SINGH
2022.01.18 16:04
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document