

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP-26189-2021

Date of Decision :25.07.2022

Rajpati**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana and others**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though, in the present writ petition, the petitioner has made a prayer regarding revision of pay scale so as to compute even the compassionate financial assistance but, the petitioner is not pressing the said prayer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that the other grievance of the petitioner is that a sum of Rs.10,45,693/- has been recovered from him by the respondents and that too without giving any valid justification, which action of the respondents is contrary to the settled principle of law.

On being asked, as to what amount and from where the said amount has been recovered, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents to raise the said claim/grievance.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner raising any claim/grievance, the same will be decided

in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

The present petition is disposed off having been not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

July 25, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No