

Kanhya Lal

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

It is submitted that a revision petition filed against the *sanad* issued during the course of partition proceedings is not being taken up even for preliminary hearing. The revision petition was filed some time in August 2022. Application for stay has also been filed and in case possession is transferred without the revision petition being heard, serious prejudice would be caused to the petitioner.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the State of Haryana to ensure that the pending revision petition is listed on 01.12.2022 alongwith the stay application. Till the decision of the stay application, there shall be a stay of dispossession.

21.10.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE