

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10092-2022

Date of Decision: 9th November, 2022

Gurleen Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr.L.S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. APS Tung, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents No. 2 and 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 11 who are against the live-in relationship of the petitioners.

As per the pleadings, the petitioners are in live-in relationship.

Learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice produced the copies of the statements recorded of the petitioners. It has been stated therein that they are in live-in relationship and have no threat apprehension.

In view of the statement produced by learned State Counsel, no further directions are called for.

Petition is disposed of.

It is clarified that while disposing of this petition, this Court has not expressed opinion with regard to the validity or legality of the relationship of the petitioners or of the age of the petitioners, as the document attached is Aadhar Card which cannot be the basis for the proof

of date of birth.

It is further clarified that this order shall not be considered as a protection for the petitioners, if they are required in an FIR.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

9th November, 2022
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No