

CWP-24533-2022

Date of Decision: 17.11.2022

M/s Luxmi Trading Company, Panipat

....Petitioner

VS

Union Territory of Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Saumya Ahluwalia, Advocate and

Mr. Varun Veer Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No. 1

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Standing Counsel for respondent No. 2

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 has been filed in the Court. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Based on the aforementioned reply learned counsel for respondent No. 1 submits that request for entering mutation has not been received by the Tehsildar (Revenue).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Annexure P-3 shows that the Bank has written to the Tehsildar, U.T. Chandigarh to enter the mutation and, thus, the matter has to be sorted out within the department itself.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to submit a request letter to the Tehsildar (Revenue), U.T. Chandigarh whose office is in the Union Territory Secretariat. The said official shall enter a mutation within two weeks from the date of receipt of the application.

17.11.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE