

**148 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-4670-2022 (O&M)**

Date of decision:13.12.2022

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation  
Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

Rahul and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for respondent no.1

Mr.J.S.Pannu, AAG, Haryana and  
Mr. Harsh Vardhan Shehrawat, AAG, Haryana

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)**

Learned counsel representing respondent no.1 submits that this revision petition has been preferred after a delay of 4 years. He submits that the revision petition is liable to be dismissed on the ground of delay.

While examining the basic issue with regard to the maintainability in the absence of an order, award, judgment or decree in favour of landowners, this Court in **Civil Revision No.814 of 2020 titled as “Rajender Singh and another vs. State of Haryana and others” decided on 15.09.2022** has held that such execution petitions are not maintainable. Hence, the delay, if any, would not dissuade the Court from interfering in an order that is made without jurisdiction.

Therefore, the order dated 12.09.2018 is set aside. The revision petition stands allowed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

13.12.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)**  
**JUDGE**