

[119] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2811-2021

Date of Decision : 17.02.2022

Suba Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Veenu Parshad, IAS, Chairman-cum-Managing Director,
Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Nirmal Singh Kandhola, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Prayer in the petition under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 10.09.2021 in CWP No.14391 of 2021 in case titled as 'Suba Singh versus State of Punjab and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) dated 10.09.2021 reveals that while issuing notice of motion in CWP No.14391 of 2021 for 11.01.2022, it was ordered that in the meantime, no recovery be effected from the petitioner.

[3] Learned counsel contends that despite the above mentioned order, recovery was made from the petitioner.

[4] Learned Counsel further contends that a legal notice (Annexure P-3) dated 23.11.2021 was served on the respondent, whereafter, the respondent complied with order (Annexure P-1) dated 10.09.2021 in CWP No.14391 of 2021 and not only refunded the

that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

[5] I have considered the submission of learned counsel. Notice has yet not been issued in the instant petition. Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of as not* calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.02.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No