

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-42658 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 13, 2022

Anju ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another
... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through Video conferencing on account of outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

A case by way of FIR No. 0234 dated 11.10.2018 under Sections 313, 323, 34, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC was registered at Police Station Women, Jind, District Jind on the complaint of present petitioner Anju against her husband Parveen Kumar and his family members Vikram, Karambir,

Sarla Devi and Rekha over matrimonial issues regarding demands of dowry, cruelty and harassment. This Court vide order dated 21.08.2019 allowed the anticipatory bail of the petitioners. Now the instant petition has been filed by the disgruntled complainant wife Anju seeking cancellation of the bail so granted to her husband respondent No.2 Parveen Kumar.

Heard counsel for the parties and perused the records.

The primary grouse of the wife is that the recovery of the dowry articles has not been made. The State has sought to take the plea alongwith counsel for respondent No. 2 Mr. Sachin Gupta that the husband has fully cooperated with the investigations and, thus, it was on the statement of the Investigating Officer made before this Court the bail was made absolute.

It is well evident and in spite of specific queries by the Court that what allegations or evidence has cropped up

against the husband necessitating cancellation of his anticipatory bail, however, counsel for the accused/petitioner could not bring anything to that effect.

The Court while adjudicating on the bail has considered the allegations and it was on the statement of the Investigating Officer that Parveen Kumar respondent No. 2 has joined the investigation and nothing is to be recovered from the accused petitioner and this Court has made orders absolute and subsequently nothing is brought on the record which could show prima facie violations of the conditions of the bail and, therefore, there is no merit in the instant petition and the same being wholly without merits stands dismissed.

January 13, 2022
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No