

[103] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.3519 of 2019
Date of Decision :02.06.2022

Chand Parkash son of Shri BishamberDayal, r/o
Village Dhuddi, Tehsil and District Faridkot ...Petitioner

versus

Harbans Lal Gupta, Superintending Engineer
Circle, Public Health Department, Punjab (Water
& Sanitation), Sangrur.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Neeraj Chandel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 31.10.2013, in CWP No.24120 of 2013 in case titled as '**Chand Parkash** versus **State of Punjab and others.**'

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.24120 of 2013, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider the claim of the petitioner strictly in accordance with law and to decide representation dated 15.03.2013 by passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned Addl. AG, Punjab, refers to paragraph Nos.2 & 3 of the compliance report by way of affidavit of Shri R.K. Gupta, Superintending Engineer, Department of Water Supply and Sanitation, Sangrur, to contend that payment as per entitlement has been released to

the petitioner along with interest.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner on perusal of the reply states that in view of payment as per entitlement having been released to the petitioner, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[5] Learned Addl. AG, Punjab, has further brought it to the notice of this Court that in terms of orders passed by this Court dated 13.12.2019 and 31.01.2020, departmental proceedings have been initiated against the officials mentioned in paragraph No.4 of the compliance report and that the same will be taken to their logical conclusion.

[6] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing the competent authority to take departmental proceedings initiated against the 04 officials mentioned in paragraph No.4 of the compliance report to its logical conclusion and to submit action taken report before this court on conclusion of the departmental proceedings.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.06.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>