IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24579-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:27.10.2022

Harinder Kaur

.......Petitioner

Versus

Principal Commissioner of Income Tax and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present:- Mr. Nikhil Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Pridhi Jaswinder Sandhu, Jr. Standing Counsel for respondents.

*****

<u>TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J. (Oral)</u>

Pleadings on record would indicate assessment order dated 25.12.2019 pertaining to assessment year 2017-2018 was passed by the competent authority at Annexure P-1.

Petitioner preferred the remedy of appeal in terms of appeal at Annexure P-3 dated 24.01.2020.

It is the assertion made by counsel for the petitioner that till date the appeal is pending.

During the Course of arguments, counsel confines the scope of the instant petition as regards issuance of directions to the appellate authority to decide the appeal dated 24.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) on merits and in a time bound manner.

Since an advance copy of the petition had already been served upon the respondents, Ms. Pridhi Jaswinder Sandhu, Jr. Standing Counsel, has entered appearance and makes a statement that the appeal dated

24.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) would be decided on merits within a period of two months from today.

Statement is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Petition disposed of.

It is clarified that we have not examined the issue on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

October 27, 2022
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No