

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-54069-2021

Date of Decision: January 05, 2022

Vikas Aggarwal

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Atul Jain, Advocate for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0399	12.11.2021	Gadpuri, District Palwal, Haryana	406/420 IPC

The petitioner, apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above, came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 23.12.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 23.12.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. It has been contended by learned State counsel on instructions received from Inspector Satya Narayan that although the petitioner has joined the investigation but he is not fully co-operating in the investigation. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

6. Given above, the interim order dated 23.12.2021 is made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the investigator. In case, he fails to do so, it shall be open for the State to file an application for cancellation of bail on this ground alone.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

January 05, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No