

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53383-2021

Date of Decision: 10.01.2022

Rahul

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

As per the office note, four petitions for grant of bail have already been disposed of, whereas in the heading of the present bail petition, it has been mentioned that it is the fourth petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition to file fresh one depicting correct and complete particulars of the earlier petitions.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

10.01.2022

Apurva

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No