

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CWP-24430-2022

Date of Decision :21.10.2022

Pramila Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Dr. Rohit Samhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that though, the petitioner had submitted her experience certificate with the respondents, copy of which has been appended with the present writ petition as Annexure P/7 but no marks for the same has been given to the petitioner due to which act of the respondents, the petitioner could not get selected within the post advertised.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents were under an obligation to grant the petitioner benefit of the experience and in case the same was not admissible, they were under an obligation to reject the said claim of the petitioner by passing an appropriate speaking order so that the petitioner should know the reason for not accepting her experience certificate, which the petitioner had submitted along with her application form for consideration and for the grant of required marks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing the representation dated 11.10.2022 (Annexure P/15), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 11.10.2022 (Annexure P/15) filed by the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in her representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 11.10.2022 (Annexure P/15) by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

October 21, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No