

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53307-2021 (O & M)

Date of decision: 15.03.2022

Paramjeet Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. P.S.Hundal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-9606-2022

Allowed as prayed for. Annexure P-10 is taken on record,
subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-53307-2021

Through this petition, the petitioners seek regular bail in
case bearing FIR No.367 dated 24.06.2021, registered at Police Station
Gharaunda, District Karnal, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325 and 307
IPC.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners contends that on
23.06.2021, a ruqa alongwith MLR of injured-Gurjinder Singh @ Goldy
was received at the police station to the effect that the injured had been
referred to the Govt. Hospital, Karnal; that when the police party
approached the Govt. Hospital, Karnal, it was found that the injured had

been referred to Virk Hospital, Karnal; that as per the prosecution version, the petitioners had allegedly fired gun-shots at Gurjinder Singh @ Goldy, brother of the complainant; that on the way from Gharaunda to Trauma Centre, Civil Hospital, Karnal, some false injuries were created on the legs of the injured with some surgical instrument so that the injuries may be shown as grievous; that the petitioners have been in custody since 29.06.2021, and that charges are yet to be framed.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant, submits that the petitioners alongwith co-accused had repeatedly caused as many as 16 injuries to the injured with an intention to kill him.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The challan stands presented. The charges are yet to be framed. The petitioners have in custody since 29.06.2021. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

15.03.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No