

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

349

CWP-26028-2021

Date of Decision :27.09.2022

Ram Niwas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Subhash Chander, Advocate for
Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prayer of the petitioner in the present writ petition is to decide the appeal, which was filed by him and is pending consideration before the Chairman, Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Panchkula and also for the regularization of his suspension period.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that the appeal preferred by the petitioner has already been decided by the respondents and the suspension period of the petitioner has also been treated as duty period for all intent and purposes keeping in view the order dated 24.09.2022 passed by the respondents, which is appended with the reply as Annexure R-2/4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view

the order dated 24.09.2022 passed by the respondents, present writ petition may be disposed off having been not pressed any further but prays that a direction be issued to the respondents to release the consequential benefits to the petitioner in terms of order dated 24.09.2022 passed by the respondents in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 and 3 submits that the consequential benefits in terms of order dated 24.09.2022 passed by the respondents will be released to the petitioner within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed off.

September 27, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No