

LPA-1232-2021 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 12th JULY, 2022

GURDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS

.....APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGILPresent: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the appellants.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in this appeal is to the order dated 26th July, 2021 whereby, the writ petition preferred by the appellants stands dismissed.

Counsel for the appellants has asserted that there is an agreement between the State of Rajasthan and State of Haryana with regard to the counter-sign of the route permit. Appellants are running their buses on kilometers basis scheme. Appellants had permit to operate till the year 2021. After that State of Rajasthan renewed the permit for a period of 5 years. He, on this basis, asserts that on the basis of the reciprocal agreement, which has been entered into between the State of Rajasthan and State of Haryana the counter signatures on the route permit is mandated by the State of Haryana once the State of Rajasthan has issued/renewed the permit. Since this has not been done by the State of Haryana and thus, the action of the State, in not permitting the appellants to ply their buses in the State of Haryana is not acceptable.

Counsel for the State of Haryana, on the other hand, asserted that the appellants have not been able to give the details with regard to the payment of passengers and road tax which has been paid by them. It is stated by her that for whatever receipts they have produced they have been given credit thereof. She further states that in case the appellants are able to satisfy the authorities with regard to the amount having been already paid or pay the amount due towards them as per the statutory provisions applicable, State of Haryana would have no objection in proceeding with the agreement terms which has been entered into between State of Rajasthan and State of Haryana.

In the light of above factual position, we do not find any ground for interfering in the present appeal as the order passed by the learned Single Judge is also to the same effect, leaving it open to the appellants to satisfy and fulfil the requirement as laid down in the statute and the agreement.

The appeal, therefore, being devoid of merit stands dismissed.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

12th JULY, 2022
sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>