

COCp-2345-2022

Date of Decision :01.12.2022

Harpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Varinder Singh Kundu and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 10.05.2022, in CWP-9845-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-9845-2022, was disposed of by directing the respondents to look into representation dated 29.03.2022 and pass an administrative order in respect thereto, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, positively within three months.

3. Although notice has not been issued in this case, yet learned DAG, Haryana, has filed separate replies on behalf of the respondents along with speaking order Annexure R/1 dated 17.11.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 29.03.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge

proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.12.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No