

210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.53673 of 2021 (O&M)

Decided on: 08.03.2022

Digvijay @ Bhola Dilipsinh Rajput @ Rajput Digvijay Dilipsinh and
others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Randeep Tanwar, Advocate
for Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.33/2021 dated 06.06.2021, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468,
471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, Sections 43, 66D and
75 of the I.T. Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime,
Gurugram, District Gurugram.

The operative part of the order dated 22.12.2021, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is
reproduced as under:-

*“....Learned counsel for the petitioners contends
that it is alleged in the FIR that when the police raided the
premises, upon receiving information that a call centre
was being illegally run, it was found that several boys and
girls wearing headphones were working on laptops,
desktops, etc., and were speaking to several persons in
English language. It is also alleged that the owner of the
call centre would buy data of USA citizens from different*

websites and would tell them that their security number was being misused and thereafter would try to extort money in dollars from them. He, however, contends that there is no specific instance of any individual who had been defrauded by the petitioners or that any person had lodged any complaint against them....”

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 22.12.2021, the petitioners have appeared before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from Inspector Om Parkash and on the basis of the affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, DLF, Gurugram, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 22.12.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

08.03.2022

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No