

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53474-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.11.2022

Jatinder Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of DDR No.35 dated 22.7.2020 under Sections 323, 452, 336, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act in FIR No.288 dated 14.7.2020 registered under Sections 452, 323, 341, 506, 336, 326 (added later on) of IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Jhabal, District Tarn Taran on the basis of compromise.

The above stated DDR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Ramandeep Kaur against the petitioners.

On notice of motion, respondents No.2 and 3 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa

Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondents No.2 and 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and DDR No.35 dated 22.7.2020 under Sections 323, 452, 336, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act in FIR No.288 dated 14.7.2020 registered under Sections 452, 323, 341, 506, 336, 326 (added later on) of IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act

at Police Station Jhabal, District Tarn Taran and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

November 30, 2022

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No