

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(347)

CWP-26000-2021

Date of Decision : September 20, 2022

Shalu Mohan and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate, for respondents No. 5 & 10.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are continuing in service as an Extension Lecturer but their apprehension is that they might be relieved from service by retaining unqualified Extension Lecturers as well as the Extension Lecturers who were appointed after the petitioners, hence, the direction be issued to the respondents that in case, the workload decreases, the action be taken in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that the grievance which is being raised by the petitioners is only their apprehension as, in case any action needs to be taken on the reduction of the workload or the joining of the regular incumbents, the instructions on the issue will be

kept in mind while taking appropriate action.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents-State, no further grievance of the petitioners survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

September 20, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No