

**233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42159-2019

Date of Decision: September 06, 2022

Satinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamal Preet Singh Bawa, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of appropriate direction to the official respondents, especially respondent No.2 to conduct the enquiry regarding the false implication of Hari Singh aged 29 years son of the petitioner by the police of P.S. Dakha, District Ludhiana, which falls under the jurisdiction of SSP, Ludhiana (Rural), Jagaon, in case FIR No.0165, dated 17.09.2019, under Sections 489-A, 489-B, 489-C, 489-D, 489-E of IPC, registered at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana Rural (Annexure P-6).

Pursuant to issuance of notice in this case on 01.10.2019, reply by way of affidavit dated 26.10.2020 of Gurbans Singh Bains, PPS, DSP Dakha, District Ludhiana (Rural) on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 was filed. Learned State counsel refers to para Nos.2 and 4 of the same. The relevant portion of the same is reproduced as under:-

“ So counterfeit currency notes amounting to Rs.1,69,500/- were recovered from the possession of the accused. One colour scanner cum printer and other material which was used for preparing these currency notes were also recovered from the accused which was taken in to possession. Both the accused were arrested in this case at the spot.

3. xx xx xx

4. *That Hari Singh son of the petitioner is not falsely implicated in this case. Huge quantity of counterfeit currency notes have been recovered from the possession of Hari Singh and accused Baljinder Singh. Such type of currency notes cannot be planted falsely. The petitioner has leveled false allegations on the police that her son has been falsely implicated. In these circumstances, there is no merit in this petition and the same may kindly be dismissed."*

Learned State counsel on written instructions received from ASI Kuldeep Singh, submits that the challan in the present case was present on 19.10.2021, charges have been framed and the next date fixed before the trial Court for prosecution evidence is 27.09.2022.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, no further action is required to be passed in the present matter as investigation is already over, challan filed, charges framed and the matter is now pending for prosecution evidence, whereas the petitioner was seeking for conducting of proper inquiry.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, learned State counsel submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

Since, none has appeared for the petitioner, therefore, liberty is granted to him to revive this petition in case any cause of action survives.

September 06, 2022

sarita

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No