

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-48869-20222

Date of Decision: 09.11.2022

Baldev Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. Petitioner prayed for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.64 dated 04.05.2022 registered under Sections 307, 427, 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station City Batala, Police District Batala, Distt. Gurdaspur, Punjab.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations levelled in the FIR appears as concocted one and no one suffered injury in the occurrence. Even the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence which can be established from the call details of the petitioner.

3. Counsel for the State has drawn attention of this Court to the fact of recovery of two empty cartridges from the spot and also submits that there were bullet marks on the car. Since the petitioner had fired as many as three shots, it was good fortune of the complainant that he survived. Even the petitioner has criminal antecedents.

4. Given above, petitioner is not entitled to bail. Furthermore, he has criminal history and he is not reforming and taking undue advantage of the bail already granted by the Courts. Consequently, the petition is dismissed. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

09.11.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no