

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

**LPA-254-2017(O & M)
Date of Decision: 22.09.2022**

Joginder Chawla

....Appellant

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present: Mr.Ashish Kumar, Advocate
Mr.Vijay Pal, Advocate
for the appellant

Mr.Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG Haryana

Mr.Amandeep Vashisth, Advocate
for respondent No.6

Mr.Dharam Vir Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Ms.Pooja Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.8 to 12.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.(ORAL):

Learned counsel for the respondents have informed the Court that the tenure of the Managing Committee of the Society was two years. The appointment of the Administrator was challenged by respondents No.6 to 13, which order was under challenge in the appeal which was preferred by them leading to the challenge of the said order by the appellant preferring the writ petition which came to be dismissed on 15.09.2016 leading to the filing of the present appeal. With the passage of time, there being subsequent elections held and the management committees also having come and gone, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the appellant states that he has no instructions in this regard.

In view of the above submissions of the counsel for the parties, we dispose of the present appeal as having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the appellant to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal in case the appellant is so interested.

In view of the disposal of the main appeal, all the pending misc.applications also stand disposed of.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

22.09.2022

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No