

COCp-2344-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision :21.11.2022

Bhoop Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Mani Ram Sharma, IAS and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Manpreet, Advocate for Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Swati Dayalan, Advocate for respondent No.3.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 19.07.2022 in CM-7421-CWP-2022 in CWP-16948-2021.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 reveals that CM-7421-CWP-2022 in CWP-16948-2021 was disposed of vide order dated 19.07.2022 by directing that since in the case of similarly situated employees, an interim order has been passed directing the respondents not to stop the payment of pension to the petitioner, therefore, in the case of the petitioner also, the respondents were directed that till the next date the petitioner be paid the pension which he was drawing at the time of his retirement.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 has filed a short reply by way affidavit of Sh. Shailendra Vikram Singh,

R-3/1 dated 03.11.2022 and states that pursuant to the directions to the Treasury Officer, Gurugram to implement the order of this Court dated 19.07.2022 in letter and spirit, pension is being released to the petitioner as per his entitlement in terms of order Annexure P-1, therefore, in the circumstances, no further orders are called for in the instant petition against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

4. Copy of reply has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal of order Annexure R-3/1 states that in view of needful having been done and petitioner having been paid pension in terms of order Annexure P-1, she does not press the instant petition.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.11.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>