

CRM-1795-2022 in/and
CRM-M-52749-2021

-1-

229+111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-1795-2022 in/and
CRM-M-52749-2021
Date of Decision: 20.01.2022

Lalita Aggarwal @ Sangita Agarwal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amrinder Singh, Advocate,
for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)
CRM-1795-2022:

Applicant/petitioner is permitted to place on record copy of
compromise, dated 14.01.2022, entered into between the parties as
Annexure P-6.

Application stands allowed.

CRM-M-52749-2021:

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for the
grant of regular bail in respect of FIR No.142, dated 22.03.2012, under

Sections 420/406/506/120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Hissar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the parties have already compromised their dispute and as per the compromise, out of the total agreed amount to be paid to the complainant some amount has already been returned to the Company and as the parties have already compromised their dispute and they intend to file a petition for cancellation of the FIR in question on the basis of compromise, which is permissible under law, hence the petitioner may kindly be extended the concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender and therefore, her prayer for the grant of regular bail may kindly be declined. Learned State Counsel pleads ignorance about the compromise, if any, arrived at between the parties.

Mr. Amrinder Singh, Advocate, joins the proceedings on behalf of the complainant and concedes that the parties have already compromised their dispute and out of the total amount to be paid under compromise, some amount has already been paid and the remaining has been undertaken by the petitioner to be paid as per the said compromise, and also he has instructions not to oppose the bail plea raised by the petitioner in the present petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and the allegations raised, coupled with the fact that the parties have already compromised their dispute and the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes, no useful purpose will be

achieved by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

Keeping in view the above, the petitioner has made out the case for the grant of regular bail.

In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will not influence trial in any manner including influencing the witnesses and in case of default of the above undertaking, State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

20.01.2022

Apurva

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : Yes