

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRWP-12124-2021
Date of Decision: 18.05.2022

Ashu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the main grievance of the petitioner was that the police was harassing her and her family members to know the whereabouts of his son-in-law.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Balraj Singh, Incharge Litigation, Batala, submits that son-in-law of the petitioner was not an accused, however, brother of son-in-law, who has been arrested.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.05.2022
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>