

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53099-2021

Date of Decision: 2nd May, 2022

Ram Chander

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed seeking regular bail in case of FIR No.165 dated 9.6.2020 registered at P.S. Uklana, District Hisar, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 read with Section 120-B IPC.

The role attributed to the petitioner is that he made a statement and gave affidavit with regard to the date of birth of accused Sajjan @ Sanju and Ajay @ Ashu in case of FIR No. 122 dated 1.5.2020. As per the affidavit, the accused were juveniles. The contents of the affidavit were found false.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is 71 years of age. He is in custody since 12.7.2021. The petitioner acted *bonafidely* being a co-villager. He further submits that no recovery is to be made. The accused Sanju and Ashu have been granted bail in case of FIR No. 122.

Learned State Counsel opposes the prayer and submits that though the investigation is complete, trial is at initial stage and complainant

is yet to be examined.

Considering the age of the petitioner, the fact that *albeit* investigation is complete conclusion of trial is likely to take time, no further recovery is to be made, petitioner has no criminal antecedents, no useful purpose would be served by depriving the personal liberty to the petitioner, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

Since the main petition has been decided, any pending applications, if any, are disposed of as infructuous.

2nd May, 2022

anuradha

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes / No
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes / No